

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
07/24/2003	Robert Lombari	0263421-0040	9962	
590 07/05/2005	•	EXAMINER .		
CHOATE, HALL & STEWART LLP		BRINSON, PATRICK F		
EXCHANGE PLACE		ARTINIT	· PAPER NUMBER	
53 STATE STREET BOSTON, MA 02109		3754	- THE DATE OF THE PARTY OF THE	
	07/24/2003 590 07/05/2005 ALL & STEWART LLP PLACE REET	07/24/2003 Robert Lombari 590 07/05/2005 ALL & STEWART LLP PLACE REET	07/24/2003 Robert Lombari 0263421-0040 590 07/05/2005 EXAM ALL & STEWART LLP BRINSON, I PLACE ART UNIT	

DATE MAILED: 07/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u> </u>						
-		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary The MAILING DATE of this communication app		·10/626,079		LOMBARI ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Patrick F. Bri		3754				
Period for Reply	f this communication app	ears on the co	over sneet with the c	orrespondence add	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTO THE MAILING DATE OF TH - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the maili - If the period for reply specified above - If NO period for reply is specified above - Failure to reply within the set or exter Any reply received by the Office later earned patent term adjustment. See	HIS COMMUNICATION. under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 ng date of this communication. is less than thirty (30) days, a reply ve, the maximum statutory period w ded period for reply will, by statute, than three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, y within the statutory will apply and will ex , cause the applicat	however, may a reply be tim minimum of thirty (30) days pire SIX (6) MONTHS from on to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) Responsive to commu	unication(s) filed on <u>29 A</u>	<u>pril 2005</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL.	2b)∐ This	action is non-	final.					
	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14,16-29 a</u> 4a) Of the above claim 5) □ Claim(s) is/are 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14,16-29 a</u> 7) □ Claim(s) is/are 8) □ Claim(s) are su	n(s) is/are withdraw allowed. <u>nd 31</u> is/are rejected. objected to.	wn from consi	deration.					
Application Papers								
9) ☐ The specification is ob	jected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed or	n is/are: a)□ acc	epted or b)	objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
2. Certified copies3. Copies of the capplication from		s have been r s have been r rity document u (PCT Rule 1	eceived. eceived in Applications s have been receive 7.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTC		4)	Interview Summary					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent I Information Disclosure Statemen Paper No(s)/Mail Date	-	5) 6)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other:)-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 9 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. 5,732,741 to Shiery '741.

The patent to Shiery '741 discloses a pre-assembled water chamber assembly for an expansion tank comprising a pressure assembly (12) having an inlet and an outlet, first and second passage fittings (53, 53') providing fluidic communication between an exterior and interior of the case. Flow through assembly (16), having an interior and exterior and first and second ends sealingly connected to the inlet and outlet, respectively. A resilient diaphragm (14) is disclosed having a middle portion and first and second ends sealingly connected to the flow-through assembly. During use the interior diameter of the first and second ends of the diaphragm are smaller than the interior diameter of the middle portion and the space between the exterior of the flow through assembly and the interior of the diaphragm is in fluidic communication with the interior of the flow through assembly, as recited in claim 9.

Fig. 1 discloses the middle portion of the diaphragm being configured to contact tube (16) at normal operating pressures, as recited in claim 14.

2. Claims 9 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. 3,063,470 to Forester.

The patent to **Forester** discloses an expansion tank comprising a pressure assembly (1) having an inlet and an outlet, first and second passage fittings (16) providing fluidic communication between an exterior and interior of the case. Flow through assembly (7), having an interior and exterior and first and second ends sealingly connected to the inlet and outlet, respectively. A resilient diaphragm (13) is disclosed having a middle portion and first and second ends sealingly connected to the flow-through assembly. During use the interior diameter of the first and second ends of the diaphragm are smaller than the interior diameter of the middle portion and the space between the exterior of the flow through assembly and the interior of the diaphragm is in fluidic communication with the interior of the flow through assembly, as recited in claim 9. Fig. 1 discloses the middle portion of the diaphragm being configured to contact tube (16) at normal operating pressures, as recited in claim 14.

Application/Control Number: 10/626,079 Page 4

Art Unit: 3754

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8, 10-13, 16-29 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 3,063,470 to Forester.

The patent to Forester discloses a pressure assembly including tube (7) having first and second ends, first and second passage fittings (16) providing fluidic communication between an interior and exterior of the pressure assembly. First and second collars (11) disposed at the first and second ends of the tubes, respectively, and a resilient diaphragm (13) having first and second ends, the diaphragm sealingly fitted around the first and second collars, respectively. In use, the first and second ends of the diaphragm having a smaller cross sectional area than a middle portion of the diaphragm. The tube includes a plurality of notches or apertures (8) that provide fluidic communication between an exterior of the tube and the interior of the diaphragm. Fig. 1 discloses a portion of at least one collar having an outer diameter that is approximately equal to an inner diameter of the diameter and the diameter of the diaphragm being substantially uniform along its length and greater than the

diameter of the tube, as recited in claims 24 and 25. Fig. 3 discloses the diaphragm being configured to contact the tube at normal operating pressures, while fig. 2 discloses the diaphragm expanded due to increased fluidic pressure. The patent to Forester discloses the case (1) comprising a shell (15) having first and second end ring (14) welded to the first and second ends of the shell, respectively and first and second fittings attached to the first and second end ring. A valve system (3, 4, 5, 6) providing controllable fluidic communication between the exterior of the tank and a space between the metallic case and the diaphragm, wherein the valve is disposed in the wall of the end ring, as recited in claims 2, 11, 13 18, 20. Forester does not disclose the notches or apertures being open to the end of the tube or notches at the end of the tube. At the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to form the notches at the end of the tube and/or to open the notches to the end of the tube of Forester because Applicant has not disclosed that having the notches at the end or opened to the end of the tube provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with the notches <u>near</u> the end as suggested by Forester because the notches near the end function equally to allow fluidic connection between the tube and the interior of the diaphragm. Therefore, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify Forester to obtain the

invention as specified in claims 1, 22, 27 and 31. At the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to form the ends as domes that are welded to the shell or to form the assembly as two domes welded to one another because Applicant has not disclosed that these features provide an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem.

One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with the shell (15) welded to ring piece (14) and an H-shaped end screwed into the ring piece as suggested by **Forester**. Therefore, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify **Forester** to obtain the invention as specified in claims 3, 4, 10, 12 and 17.

Response to Amendment

4. Applicant states that claim 9 provides for a notch that is open to the end of the tube, however claim 9 does not require the notch to open to the end of the tube. Applicant has not successfully argued, however, the distinction between the invention's "notch" at the end of the tube and the "blind hole" of either **Shiery** and **Forster**. It is merely argued that the references do not disclose a "notch". Whether it is termed a "notch" or "hole", these elements function equally to allow fluid communication between the interior of the tube and the interior of the diaphragm. Though not provided at the end of the tube, it is shown with both references that the

holes are adjacent the ends of the tube to allow fluid to expand the diaphragm and to push old water out of the tank as new water flows into the tube. Though not specifically an issue with Forster, it inherent that the fluid would flow into and out of the tube through holes as they would the notches of the present invention. In response to Applicant's arguments regarding the rejection of the limitation of claim 17, it should be noted that it is the Examiner's position that indeed, Forster does not disclose the claimed subject matter, however, in this case, it is believed to be a matter of design choice. The invention claims first and second domed ends into which fittings are connected. These domed pieces are connected to the shell in which the diaphragm is fitted. Forster essentially discloses the same structure, but with a modified design. Instead of domes, there are circular pieces (14), one of which include the valve means to pressurize the outside of the diaphragm, as does the present invention. Fittings (16) are connected to these pieces. These pieces are connected at each end to the shell (15) in which the diaphragm is fitted. There is no advantage to having domed ends over the ends of Forster.

The patent to **Zahid et al**. is listed to show a tube having a notch/slot near the end of the tube to allow fluid communication between the interior of the tube and the interior of the diaphragm.

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Patrick F. Brinson** whose telephone number is (571) 272-4897. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Michael Y. Mar** can be reached on (571) 272-4906. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patrick F. Brinson

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3754

P. F. Brinson June 29, 2005